

1 ANDREW D. HEROLD, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 7378
3 aherold@heroldsagerlaw.com
4 JOSHUA A. ZLOTLOW, ESQ.
5 Nevada Bar No. 11333
6 jzlotlow@heroldsagerlaw.com
7 HEROLD & SAGER
8 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
9 Las Vegas, NV 89169
10 Tel: (702) 990-3624
11 Fax: (702) 990-3835

12 Attorneys for Defendant
13 LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

15 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

16 CENTEX HOMES, a Nevada general
17 partnership,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 EVEREST NATIONAL INSURANCE
21 COMPANY, a Delaware corporation;
22 INTERSTATE FIRE & CASUALTY
23 COMPANY, an Illinois corporation;
24 LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY, a
25 Delaware corporation; FINANCIAL
26 PACIFIC INSURANCE COMPANY, a
27 California corporation; HARTFORD
28 CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, an
Indiana corporation,

Plaintiff.

CASE NO. 2:16-cv-01275-GMN-PAL

**STIPULATION RE BRIEFING ON
LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY'S
MOTION TO DISMISS [FIRST
REQUEST]**

24 Plaintiff CENTEX HOMES ("Centex") and Defendant LEXINGTON INSURANCE
25 COMPANY ("Lexington"), hereby submit the following Stipulation Re Briefing on Lexington's
26 Motion to Dismiss.

27 ///

28 ///

WHEREAS, Lexington filed a Motion to Dismiss on October 10, 2016 (ECF Nos. 19 and 20) (the “Motion”);

WHEREAS, the Motion makes various arguments as to why Centex's claims against Lexington should be dismissed relying in part on the language of the Lexington policies at issue;

WHEREAS, the Motion did not include copies of the Lexington policies themselves, but rather correspondence between Lexington and Centex in which the parties disputed Centex's rights and Lexington's obligations under the policies based in part of the policy language;

WHEREAS, Centex has requested additional policy information in order to respond to the Motion;

WHEREAS, Lexington is working towards obtaining certified copies of the insurance policies and intends to supplement the Motion with these certified copies;

12 WHEREAS, Lexington and Centex agree that it would be best for this Court to address the
13 issue of the proper interpretation of the language of the Lexington policies with complete copies of
14 the Lexington policies in the Court's records at the time it addresses the Motion;

15 NOW, THEREFORE, Centex and Lexington, by and through their respective counsel of
16 record, hereby stipulate that Lexington shall supplement the Motion with certified copies of the
17 insurance policies addressed therein and that Centex's opposition to the Motion shall be filed and
18 served within 10 calendar days of the filing of Lexington's supplemental papers and Lexington's
19 reply papers shall be filed and served within 10 calendar days thereafter.

20 | DATED: October 24, 2016

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Lexington Insurance Company shall file the relevant insurance policies by November 7, 2016. *(Signature)*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DATED this 25 October, 2016.

1 DATED: October 24, 2016

HEROLD & SAGER

2 By:



ANDREW D. HEROLD, ESQ.

aherold@heroldsagerlaw.com

JOSHUA A. ZLOTLOW, ESQ.

jzlotlow@heroldsagerlaw.com

Attorneys For Defendant

LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY

6

7

8

9

10

11

PAYNE & FEARS LLP

12

13

By: /s/ Sarah J. Odia (as authorized on 10/24/16)

SCOTT S. THOMAS, ESQ.

sst@paynefears.com

SARAH J. ODIA, ESQ.

sjo@paynefears.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTEX HOMES

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28